

**1 Active Faults Houston/Harris County Area**

Subsurface fault interpretation of Fault “A” on NSEM apparent resistivity (lower image) is validated by tie to surface fault trace on 2-D resistivity imaging (white arrow, upper image). Resistivity profile provided by Mustafa Saribudak of EGA.

**2 Radial Fault “A” Hockley Salt Dome**

Hockley Fault “A” identified in subsurface on two arbitrary NSEM apparent resistivity profiles. Both fault interpretations validated via tie to surface fault trace.

**3 Radial Fault “B” Hockley Salt Dome**

All four NSEM profiles reveal presence of active Fault “B” validated at surface.

**4 Radial Fault “C” Hockley Salt Dome**

This NSEM profile shows both Faults “A” & “C”.

**5 Willow Creek Fault Northwest Houston**

Another active fault in NW Houston (FM 249, south of Tomball) was clearly identified with NSEM resistivity.

**Panels 1-2:** The same nine color-coded faults can be identified on all three lines . NSEM demonstrates internal interpretive & structural consistency & an ability to map faults at the prospect level. Of the twenty faults displayed on these three profiles, nineteen are defined by two resistivity layer offsets & one fault is defined by three (see white arrow line 3). 3-D NSEM enables structural & fault plane mapping for comprehensive interpretive quality control, similar to seismic interpretation.

**Panel 3:** shows consistent fault criteria on all four profiles. As many as seven faults could be consistently identified on four resistivity profiles spanning 1.5 miles.

**Panels 4-5:** NSEM apparent resistivity profiles identify two additional active faults that are confirmed by near-surface geophysics. The NSEM resistivity profile intersecting radial Fault “C” also intersects Fault “A” shown in panels 1 & 2 and confirms NSEM’s ability to reliably identify faults. Both panels , along with the first three, show how NSEM could be used to map subsurface structure.

**Observations**

- 3-D NSEM resistivity data was able to tie surface faults and extend fault interpretations to deeper than 5,600’.
- 3-D NSEM fault criteria was credible and at least as good as conventional 2-D resistivity imaging.
- In most cases NSEM fault criteria was based on the offset of at least two resistivity layers.

**Hockley Fault Conclusions**

- 3-D NSEM resistivity can be interpreted similar to 3-D seismic data to build structural frameworks.
- It can be integrated with & calibrated to other near-surface & potential field geophysical data to expand the depth & aerial extent of investigated areas.
- NSEM is scalable – providing reconnaissance data in support of exploration or it can focus on specific faults & electrical rock properties in support of development drilling projects.